

Report to Rutland Health and Wellbeing Board

Subject:	SEND draft strategy
Meeting Date:	29 November 2016
Report Author:	Mark Fowler
Presented by:	Mark Fowler
Paper for:	Approval

Context, including links to Health and Wellbeing Priorities e.g. JSNA and Health and Wellbeing Strategy:

During the period April to August, arrangements for SEND amongst all providers had been reviewed and a strategy developed. This was undertaken by the SEND Core Group which had the role of preparing for an OFSTED inspection and drafting a strategy. The Group comprised personnel from: Learning & Skills; Early Help; Social Care (adult & child); Aiming High; health commissioners and practitioners.

The SEND strategy fits within the context of the:

- Corporate plan;
- Children, Young People & Families' Plan;
- Children's Services Development Plan;
- Poverty strategy targets;
- Education Strategic Plan;
- NDTi report on SEND in Rutland (April 2016).

Consultation on the Strategy is continuing and this report to the Health and Wellbeing Board forms part of that consultation.

The Strategy relates particularly to the Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2013-16:

- "Theme 1: Giving children & young people the best possible start."

It relates to the (draft) Strategy for 2016-20:

- "Target services on those with greatest need and who are most vulnerable, including looked after children; military families; and children with special educational needs and/or disabilities."

It further relates to the JSNA chapter 11: Learning Disabilities:

- Learning disabilities in children and adults.

Financial implications:

No significant changes are expected at this point. The plan has been drawn up with the intention of:

- remaining within budget;
- making use of the remaining SEND reform funds held within Council reserves; and,
- ending large yearly increases in the SEND budget.

Once a final draft of the ESP is available, a detailed analysis of financial implications will be presented.

Recommendations:

That the board:

1. Is consulted on the draft strategy.
2. Identifies whether any changes or amendments need to be made.

Comments from the board:

Strategic Lead: Tim O'Neill

Risk assessment:

Time	L/M/H	M
Viability	L/M/H	L
Finance	L/M/H	L
Profile	L/M/H	H
Equality & Diversity	L/M/H	H

Timeline:

Task	Target Date	Responsibility